TRAINING PROGRAMME FOR MALDIVES JUDICIAL OFFICERS at the NATIONAL JUDICIAL ACADEMY 14 February 2023 Session-6 Re-engineering Judicial Process through ICT

by

Atul Kaushik Additional Secretary (Retd.) Government of India



Technology offers courts a crucial commodity—information

—that can help the justice agencies make better and quicker decisions, and track case outcomes

Technology integration helps courts

Technology empowers courts:

- to meet core purposes and responsibilities, particularly when they work with limited court staff
- reduces hours of operation
- optimizes court locations
- Pre-requisites to harness technology:
- Identify needs of judges, lawyers and litigants
- examine process re-engineering opportunities
- migrate from document to content management
 This improves the quality of justice, access to justice, and public trust and confidence in the court as an institution

Court computerisation in India

- GOI with NIC's help has been computerising courts at all levels since early 1990s
- SC and all HCs had started using IT to some extent by the start of the millennium
- National Policy by eCommittee in 2005 launched eCourts Project for district courts
- Policy Document on eCourts Phase I in 2004
- eCourts Phase II launched in August 2015
- Draft of eCourts Phase-III ready in 2022

eCourts Phase I – The Basics

	Status in August 2015		 Budget: Rs 9.35 billion; utilized: Rs 6.39 billion (68
Project Module	No. of Completed Courts	Percentage	%) • The e-Courts portal (<u>http://www.ecourts.gov.in</u>)
Sites Ready	14,249	100	District Court websitesCase status information
LAN Installed	13,686	95.7	online - orders/judgments online • NJDG • Laptops to 14,309 JOs
HW Installed	13,436	94.3	
Software Deployed	13,672	95.9	

Ecourts Phase-II - Financials

- Sanctioned project Rs 16.70 billion
- Expenditure Rs 16.68 billion (31.03.2022)
- Courts computerised: 18735
- Rs 3 billion released to provide WAN connectivity; 2972 of 2992 court complexes (99.3% sites) have been provided with 10 Mbps to 100 Mbps bandwidth speed using various technologies like OFC, RF, VSAT (31.03.2022)

National Judicial Data Grid (NJDG)

- <u>https://njdg.ecourts.gov.in/njdgnew/?p=main/in</u> <u>dex</u>:
 - provides case data for all courts through a web portal on almost real time basis, with a dashboard and drill down facility to reach the case details in each case
 - will help to ascertain the number and type of arrears in every court in the country for better judicial monitoring and management



Assistance for judicial officers/staff

- Management Manuals:
 - Case management CIS 3.0
 - E-filing; E-Pay; Query Module
 - National Service and Tracking of Electronic
 Processes (NSTEP) App. for bailiffs for delivery of processes
- Master trainers available
- NIC team available to help whenever judges face a problem

The Justice System in India is Transparent...

- Art 145 (4): Judgments to be delivered in open courts
- eCourts Achievements: <u>https://doj.gov.in/national-mission/ecourts/ecourts-phase-i</u>
- Seven ways to access court related information: <u>https://doj.gov.in/node/1138833</u>
- Information in 20 languages, including Bengali: <u>https://doj.gov.in/node/1138815</u>
- Main website: https://ecourts.gov.in/ecourts_home/
- E-Committee website: https://ecommitteesci.gov.in/

...but is confidentially and privacy rights secure?

- Live streaming the norm except in the following cases:
 - Matrimonial matters, including transfer petitions;
 - Cases involving sensitive issues like sexual assault;
 - Matters where children and juveniles are involved;
 - the presiding judge shall have the discretion to disallow live-streaming for specific cases where, in his/her opinion, publicity would prejudice the interests of justice.
- Para 46, Concurrent judgment of the then Justice D Y Chandrachud, now CJI, in Swapnil Kumar case (2018)
- Also see Pradyuman case (2017) on the use of CCTV cameras in courts and J. Puttaswamy case (2017) on right to privacy

Huge Data Available

- Court data (High Courts and District and subordinate courts) is in public domain
- Drill down data available at individual case level except where masked
 - Case type, parties, lawyers, addresses, emails
 - Acts and rules at issue
 - Judge/court details including names and locations
 - Property details, commercial details
 - Cause lists, orders, judgments

Data Protection Transparency vs Privacy

- Analyses already being done through 'scraping' and 'crawling'
- Court data and judgments machine readable
- APIs in eCourts Phase-III exacerbate risk of data misuse
- Digital Personal Data Protection Bill 2022 available for public consultation
- Data audience: internal, external and public may require different treatment

Data Protection - solutions

- Assess based on
 - degree of potential harm
 - content, context and data field, not document
 - Non-personal/anonymous data require no application, no permission
 - Personal data require application, permission of court
 - Sensitive personal data require application, permission of court and consent of person concerned

THANK YOU atulkaushik@yahoo.com